(TUE) MAY 16 2006 14:10/ST. 14:09/No. 6833031160 P 7

FROM ROGITZ 619 338 8078

CASE NO.: YOR920000383US2

Serial No.: 10/623,926

May 16, 2006

Page 7

PATENT Filed: July 21, 2003

Remarks

Claims 1-8 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §102 for being anticipated by Dulude et al., USPN

6,310,966, and Claim 18 has been rejected under 35 U.S.C. §103 as being unpatentable over Dulude et al.

Dulude et al. teaches a hash function of the type that has been discussed in the present specification, bottom

of page 6, which as noted undesirably can expose the original biometrics.

To better distinguish over Dulude et al., Claim 1 now recites determining, in response to a

transaction, whether the distorted biometric matches with one or more stored distorted biometrics, with the

determining act being undertaken without knowing the undistorted biometric as supported on, e.g., page 15,

lines 9 and 10 of the specification. Claim 18 has been amended to set forth providing a tester with a

comparison distorted biometric but not providing the tester with the undistorted biometrics, and instructing

the tester to compare the comparison distorted biometric with the distorted biometric to determine whether

to authorize a transaction defined by the transaction request. Both claims have been amended to remove the

limitation that the transformation must be non-invertible.

In contrast to amended Claims 1 and 18, the comparing site in Dulude et al. must have the original

biometrics to hash it for subsequent comparison. The claims appear to be allowable.

As to the double patenting rejections, MPEP §804 appears to require either common ownership or

the existence of a joint development agreement, neither of which exists in this case. The present application

was divested by IBM to Lenovo, whereas IBM retained ownership of USPN 6.836,554. Thus, a double

patenting rejection would not appear to be appropriate. Further, the '554 patent was filed on the same day

as the parent of this continuation application, so it is not prior art.

1201-28.AMD

CASE NO.: YOR920000383US2 Serial No.: 10/623,926 May 16, 2006

F

PATENT Filed: July 21, 2003

Page 8

The Examiner is cordially invited to telephone the undersigned at (619) 338-8075 for any reason which would advance the instant application to allowance.

Respectfully submitted,

John L. Rogitz

Registration No. 33,549

Attorney of Record 750 B Street, Suite 3120

San Diego, CA 92101

Telephone: (619) 338-8075

JLR:jg

1201-28.AMD